

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/627,728 07/28/2003 P08012US00/MP 7088 Bruno Bardazzi EXAMINER 07/28/2004 STITES & HARBISON PLLC FASTOVSKY, LEONID M 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

3742

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\ \ \ \ .
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/627,728	BARDAZZI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leonid M Fastovsky	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 J	<u>luly 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	١.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.5 and $8-11$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: a)) accepted or b)⊠ objected to l	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive	ion No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ed.
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030728.		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/627,728

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Fig. 2, claims 1-3 and 6-11 in the reply filed on 7/1/04 is acknowledged. However, claims 8-11 read on species of Fig. 3-5, therefore claims 8-11 have not been considered on their merits and have been withdrawn form further consideration.
- 2. Claims 4-5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/1/04.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because the filter and the nozzle are not identified in Fug. 2. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the

Application/Control Number: 10/627,728 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains extraneous words such as "comprising". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the filter is not identified for Fig. 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Albrecht (DE19914651).

 Albrecht teaches an electrical appliance for preparing infusing drinks comprising a first section 19, electrical heating means 12 in the first section, means in the first section (at the bottom of the first section 19) for supplying electric power to the heating means 12, further comprising a second section 1 comprising means for containing a fluid to be heated, the first section and the second section being connectable to each other in a releasable manner, the first section being subdivided into a first chamber 19 housing the

electrical heating means and a second chamber 2 for containing the fluid to be heated by a partition wall made of heat-conducting material, , a funnel shaped filter 6 with nozzle 3, the second section 1 essentially in the form of vase, the end wall of the first section 19 is provided with an axial cavity (shown by arrows) mounting the electrical contacts, while the electrical power supply 16 comprises a plate 16 which is fitted with an axially protruding shank (top of the plate 16) holding the electrical contacts, and a power supply circuit with a thermostat 15 for controlling temperature, the outer walls of the first and second section are inherently made out of thermally insulated material because his art structure inherently capable of being made of this material (MPEP 2131.01).

In conclusion, Albrecht teaches a structural similarity of the disclosed invention (See In re Schreiber, 44 USPQ2d 1429 (Fed. Cir. 1997), and has reasonable expectation of the claimed characteristics (See In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA 1980)).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 5283420 (heated beverage container), 4888467 (coffee machine), 20020124735 (beverage maker), 5377299 (heating apparatus), 3844206 (infusor apparatus), 5283854 (control system for infusion beverages).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

lmf